

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/774,858	01/31/2001	Yoshihiro Izumi	55560(904)		
	12/09/2003		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 9169			CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID		
BOSTON, MA 02209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
·			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003	.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	T	am
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/774,858	IZUMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Tarifur R Chowdhury	2871	
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available in Figure 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CONvoid abandonment of this applic	NDITION FOR ALLO	DWANCE. ly to a
(**==) *** *****************************		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	ig date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Circles.	he shortened statutory period for reply	ount of the fee. The appi	ropriate extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pet 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	тиз арроан	•
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	, below),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	2
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		inani, rejected cianne	.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a se		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reapplication in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been consid 	lered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[ild be rejected is provided below	will be entered ar	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,	о аррепаса.	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>27-34</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: 35-39.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26.</u>			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approve	ved or h) disapproved by the	- Eveminar	
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1440) Donor No/s)	= Examiner.	
0. ☐ Other:	од г то-т ча а) сарег No(s)	·	
		thous	R.

Primary Examin

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment to claims 1, 7, 14 and 22 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search to define patentibility..